ISSUE MATRIX FOR NEW AIRLINE AGREEMENT

The following table highlights key business terms the City may wish to consider as the Airport plans for the negotiations of the next Airline-Airport Lease and Operating Agreement.

PROVISION	CONSIDERATIONS	OPTIONS / COMMENTS
TERM	Predictability v. flexibility Relationship to capital projects and financing	Short-term (3-5 years) Long-term (7-10 years)
CONTROL OF CAPITAL PROGRAM	City Control v. risk- sharing	
	Majority In Interest (MII) • Airline rights to control capital development Threshold not requiring airline approval	No MII Existing MII Modified form of MII Current agreement at \$5 million Higher threshold?
CONTROL OF GATES (continued on page 2)	Optimize efficiency of use Support dominant carrier operations Preserve opportunities for competitive entry Gate Use Rights	Protocols and Priorities of Use
	Initial Allocation	Obligation to accommodate Scheduled Seats for the month of August is the allocation basis in the current agreement. Consistent methodology or should more months be used? Timing – currently done by October 1 st . Should this be moved later? If it's moved later, will this impact the Rates and Charges calculation? Grandfather current gate assignments?

ISSUE MATRIX FOR NEW AIRLINE AGREEMENT

PROVISION	CONSIDERATIONS	OPTIONS / COMMENTS
CONTROL OF GATES	Reallocation	Responsiveness to changing
(continued from page 1)		market conditions
		Accommodate new
		entrants
		Expanding incumbents
		Creates uncertainty
THE COLLEGE COLLEGE	I' 1 14 C 4	TZ
TICKET COUNTERS	Linked to Gates	Keep same provisions parallel to Gates?
	Migration to kiosks, self-	Gales?
	tagging of bags, etc.	Need to anticipate technological,
		operational changes
RATES AND CHARGES	Financial Model	Revenue Sharing
		Allocation of vacancy risk
		Gate Charges – equal vs.
		differential
		Maximize City's cost recovery
		and discretionary cash vs.
		minimize growth in CPE
	Recovery of Capital Costs	Financial feasibility, prudence
		vs. containment of airline
		responsibility